Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–5 (2002) Printed 6 June 2014 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

The main sequence of star-forming galaxies at z∼0.6: reinstating major mergers

M. Puech⋆, F. Hammer, M. Rodrigues, S. Fouquet, H. Flores, K. Disseau
GEPI, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, University Paris Diderot; 5 Place Jules Janssen, 92190 Meudon, France

arXiv:1406.1190v1 [astro-ph.GA] 4 Jun 2014

Accepted ... Received ...

ABSTRACT
The relation between the star formation rate and the stellar mass of star-forming galaxies has been used to argue that major mergers cannot be the main driver of star formation. Here, we re-examine these arguments using the representative IMAGES-CDFS sample of star-forming galaxies at $z = 0.4 - 0.75$, taking advantage of their previously established classification into pre-fusion, fusion, and relaxing galaxy mergers. Contrary to previous claims, we show there is no tension between the main sequence scatter and the *average* duration of the fusion star formation rate SFR peak. We confirm previous estimates of the fraction of SFR due to morphologically-selected galaxies (∼23%) or the SFR enhancement due to major merger during the fusion phase (∼10%). However, galaxy mergers are not instantaneous processes, which implies that the total fraction of the SFR associated to galaxies undergoing major mergers must account for the three merger phases. When doing so, galaxies involved in major mergers are found to represent 53-88% of the total SFR at $z \sim 0.6$. The fraction of LIRGs in the fusion phase is found to be in agreement with the observed morphological fraction of LIRGs without disks and with the observed and expected major merger rates at $z \leqslant 1.5$.

Key words: Galaxies: evolution; Galaxies: kinematics and dynamics; Galaxies: high-redshifts; galaxies: general; galaxies: interactions; galaxies: spiral.

1 INTRODUCTION

The so-called "SFR-sequence" or "Main Sequence" (hereafter, MS) of star forming galaxies (SFGs) is a relatively tight correlation between the star formation rate SFR and stellar mass $M_{stellar}$. The MS appears to hold at least up to $z \sim 2.5$, with a strong evolution in zero point (e.g., Noeske et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007; Rodighiero et al. 2010), and a possible flattening above (Rodighiero et al. 2011; Whitaker et al. 2012). The exact shape of the MS remains uncertain at the highest masses (Brinchmann et al. 2004; Drory & Alvarez 2008; Whitaker et al. 2012), as well as at low SFR due to the presence of a "cloud" of low SFR galaxies extending downward the MS (Wuyts et al. 2011; Fumagalli et al. 2013). Nevertheless, the MS has been used as a typical region of the SFR-$M_{stellar}$ plane within which analytic, semi-analytic, or cosmological models are expected to produce the most typical SFGs at a given redshift z (e.g., Bouché et al. 2010; Dutton et al. 2010; Kannan et al. 2013).

A lot of interest has been devoted to the scatter of the MS, which is found to be ~ 0.3 dex independent of z (e.g., Rodighiero et al. 2011; Whitaker et al. 2012), though with a possible evolution in mass (Guo et al. 2013). This relatively small scatter has been used to estimate the average duty cycle of episodic star formation episodes: SFR variations exceeding $\pm 1(2)\sigma$=0.3(0.6) dex (i.e., factors 2(4)) should have duty cycles <32(5)%, meaning that on average galaxies with $M_{stellar} \sim 10^{10-11} M_{\odot}$ could not have spent more than ∼2.5(0.4)Gyr in episodes of enhanced star formation since $z = 1$. SFR enhancements in simulated major mergers are found to be too large in amplitude and too short in duration to statistically account for the MS scatter (e.g., Cox et al. 2008; Lotz et al. 2010a). This was used to argue that major mergers cannot account for the MS scatter. This argument was further supported by the enhancement of the star formation activity directly measured in morphologically-selected major mergers, with typically less than $\sim 10\%$ of the SFR density directly triggered by major mergers (Robaina et al. 2009; Rodighiero et al. 2011), and by the relatively large fraction (∼50%) of Luminous InfraRed Galaxies (hereafter LIRGs) found to harbor disk morphologies at $z \leqslant 1$ (e.g., Zheng et al. 2004; Melbourne et al. 2005).

Other observations, in particular from the 3D survey IMAGES, have suggested that gas-rich major mergers played a prominent role in the structural evolution of intermediate mass galaxies since $z \sim 1$ (Hammer et al. 2005, 2009; Hopkins et al. 2009). The IMAGES sample is therefore ideal to re-examine these issues and test whether the MS

⋆ E-mail: mathieu.puech@obspm.fr

scatter-based duty cycle argument and the insights gained on the structural evolution of intermediate-mass galaxies at $z \leqslant 1$ using 3D surveys are in tension over the past 9 Gyr. Throughput this letter, we used a "concordance" cosmological model when needed with (Ω_m, Ω_Λ, h)=(0.3, 0.7, 0.7), AB magnitudes, and a diet Salpeter IMF (Bell et al. 2003).

2 THE AVERAGE $z \sim 0.6$ MAJOR MERGER AND THE MS

We started from the IMAGES sub-sample of 35 star-forming galaxies that lie in the CDFS region, which is representative of the SFG population with $M_J \leqslant -20.3$ and $EW_0([OII]) \geqslant 15\AA$ at $z \sim 0.6$ (Yang et al. 2008). Based on their observed spatially-resolved morphology (using the ACS camera on-board HST) and kinematics (using the multi-IFU VLT/FLAMES-GIRAFFE optical spectrograph), this sample was classified into three distinct morpho-kinematic classes, namely Rotators (ROT), which correspond to galaxies that were classified as spiral and rotating disks according to their morphology and kinematics (Neichel et al. 2008), Non-Relaxed (NR) systems, for which the morphology and the kinematics was found to be peculiar, and Semi-Relaxed (SR) systems, which correspond to galaxies that do not meet the two previous criteria (i.e., with a relaxed morphology and non-relaxed kinematics or *vice-versa*; see Hammer et al. 2009). Two galaxies were rejected because they turned out to be outliers, while another one was rejected because it did not have HST imaging (see Hammer et al. 2009 and Puech et al. 2012 for details), which led to the sample of 32 galaxies studied here. Stellar masses and SFRs were estimated in Puech et al. (2008, 2010) using simplified prescription between J-band mass-to-light ratios and color from Bell et al. (2003), while SFR were estimated by summing the UV-unobscured and IR-based contributions (with an average uncertainty of ∼33%). LIRGs were identified as galaxies with counterparts within 1 arcsec of the 24μm MIPS DR3 public catalog and $SFR \geqslant 12.2 M_\odot/yr$ (Le Floc'h et al. 2005).

The observed spatially-resolved morpho-kinematic properties of the 27 NR and SR galaxies in the IMAGES-CDFS sample were modeled using a grid of hydrodynamical gas-rich major mergers. The best model was graded by three examiners as described in Hammer et al. (2009), and secure major merger candidates were identified as galaxies having a model that fitted observations with a good level of confidence (i.e., grades $\geqslant 4/6$), which represent 18 cases out of 27. The remaining 9 objects are either major mergers that could not be secured with a high enough grade because of the limited size of the simulation grid, or galaxies possibly undergoing other evolutionary processes such as minor mergers (e.g., Puech et al. 2007) or internal instabilities (e.g., Puech 2010). Puech et al. (2012) showed that the resulting major merger rate (for baryonic mass ratios larger than 0.25) is found to be in remarkable agreement with predictions from semi-empirical Λ-CDM models. The key factor for this agreement is that the combination of morphology with spatially-resolved kinematics is found to be sensitive to all the phases of the merging process (see Fig. 1), from the pre-fusion phase during which the two progenitors can still be identified as distinct components, the fusion/post-fusion phase during which they coalesce and generally result in a peak of SFR, to the relaxation phase in which the remnant progressively reaches a relaxed dynamical state. Since the IMAGES-CDFS is representative of the galaxy population at $z \sim 0.6$ and that all the merger phases are well-sampled, Fig. 1 illustrates that this sample as a whole can be used to represent the average $z \sim 0.6$ major merger.



Figure 1. Normalized SFR as a function of time for the IMAGES-CDFS galaxies. Each galaxy is plotted at the time at which individual numerical models give the best fit to observations. The SFR is normalized by the estimated gas mass (see Puech et al. 2012) to remove biases due to the different gas reservoir contents from object to object. Full symbols represent galaxies whose morpho-kinematics compared best with those of their models (secured cases, see text). Merging galaxies were classified into three different classes: the pre-fusion (blue symbols), post-fusion (red), and relaxation phases (green). The dash line is not a fit but a simple visual guide through the points. The median uncertainty is indicated in the upper-right corner. The errorbar on the merging timescale corresponds to the time-step between two simulated snapshots in the models. LIRGs are indicated by orange open stars.

The average pre-fusion and fusion phases at $z \sim 0.6$ were found to last 1.8 Gyr, in agreement with expectations from hydrodynamical simulations (e.g., Cox et al. 2008). This is consistent with the average SFR enhancement duration inferred from the MS scatter, which is estimated to be 0.4-2.5 Gyr (from the 1-2σ scatter, see Sect. 1). Indeed, all on-going major mergers at $z = 0.4 - 0.75$ span a range of separations, mass ratios, orbits, and gas fractions, which result in a range of different individual pre-fusion and fusion phases durations (Lotz et al. 2010a,b). Statistically, the average fusion phase duration corresponds to the envelope of the individual fusion peaks with a resulting width much larger than the width of the individual fusion peaks. Figure 1 shows the resulting average fusion peak in the IMAGES-CDFS sample, with an SFR enhancement in amplitude of a factor ∼2-3. Both the *average* SFR enhancement amplitude

and duration are therefore in very good agreement with constraints inferred from the MS scatter (see Sect. 1). There is therefore no tension between the SFR constraints inferred from the scatter of the MS and major mergers, *provided that the proper empirical statistics on separations, mass ratios, orbits, and gas fractions is considered.*

Figure 2 shows how galaxies are distributed across the MS as a function of their morpho-kinematic classification and merger phase. It reveals that NR galaxies tend to lie on average above the MS, while SR and ROT lie closest to the mean relation, which is consistent with other observations using morphology as a tracer of the galaxy dynamical state (Jogee et al. 2009; Kaviraj et al. 2013; Hung et al. 2013), or spatially-resolved kinematics (Green et al. 2013). Interestingly, galaxies lying above the 1-σ scatter are all galaxies with NR morpho-kinematics in the fusion/post-fusion phase, as predicted by the semi-empirical model of Hopkins et al. (2010). In this model, such galaxies are expected to be mostly in the fusion peak, which, on average, enhance their SFRs. Conversely, galaxies populating the MS are a mix of the three merger phases. Previous studies claimed that the MS is not related to major mergers because most of morphologically-selected mergers lie above the relation. *This is true only if ones limits major mergers to the fusion phase.* On the contrary, we find that the MS is mostly populated by galaxies with secured major merger models, though corresponding mostly to the pre-fusion and relaxation phases, i.e., to the less star forming phases.



Figure 2. IMAGES-CDFS MS as a function of the morpho-kinematic classification (*top panel*) and merger phase (*bottom panel*). The grey region represents the $z = 0.45 - 0.7$ MS and its $\pm$1-σ scatter from Noeske et al. (2007), as reported by Dutton et al. (2010). *Top panel:* Red squares represent NR galaxies, green squares SR galaxies, and blue squares rotators. *Bottom panel:* Blue squares represent galaxies in the pre-fusion phase, red squares galaxies in the fusion phase, while green squares represent galaxies in the relaxation phase (see Fig. 1). Full symbols represent galaxies with secured models (see text). LIRGs are indicated as orange open stars (with errorbars typically smaller than the symbol size), and the corresponding SFR threshold indicated as a black dash line.

3 WHICH FRACTION OF THE SFR IS REALLY RELATED TO MAJOR MERGERS?

We estimated the fraction of SFR associated to each merger phase. First, we considered galaxies with secured major merger models only. Because part of the galaxies with unsecured models could also be due to major mergers that were not identified by the limited grid of models (see Sect. 2), this sample provides a strict lower limit to the fraction of SFR associated to major mergers (see first column of Tab. 1). To partly account for possible major mergers missed by the grid of models, we also estimated these fractions considering secured models with addition of the five galaxies with unsecured models in the fusion phase. Galaxies in the relaxation phase are indeed the most difficult to identify from morpho-kinematic data because most of them lack of strong disturbances. In the case of these five galaxies, their best-models were systematically found close to the end of the simulation (Puech et al. 2012), which might indicate that they actually belong to the relaxation phase but were misclassified because of the inherent difficulty of identifying galaxies in this phase and/or the limited grid of simulations used for comparison with observations. The corresponding fractions are listed in the second column of Tab. 1. The third column gives a median between the two estimates. Since the IMAGES-CDFS sample is representative of the $z \sim 0.6$ emission line galaxy population (see Sect. 2), this provides lower limits to the average fraction of SFR associated to each major merger phase at $z \sim 0.6$.

We find that the fraction of SFR in each merger phase is generally consistent with results from the literature (e.g., Robaina et al. 2009). The fraction of SFR associated to all galaxies involved in major mergers (i.e., regardless of the merger phase) is found to be 53-88% (70% in median; see Tab. 1). That is not to say that such a large fraction of the SFR is triggered by major mergers *only*. Indeed, the SFR in each merger phase can be triggered by processes other than the merger itself, such as internal instabilities, minor mergers, or gas accretion. This degeneracy is minimized during the fusion peak, in which the SFR is mostly driven by the merger itself since it is during this phase that the tidal torques drive a fraction of the gas inward and results in a central starburst (Hopkins et al. 2009). Galaxies in the fusion phase are found to be responsible for 23$\pm$5% of the total SFR. Morphogically-selected mergers (i.e., mostly galaxies in the fusion peak; Lotz et al. 2010a; Hopkins et al. 2010), are consistently found to account for 15-21% of the SFR

Merger Phase	% of SFR		
	Secured only	Extended	Median
Pre-fusion	12±2	12±2	12±2
Fusion	23±5	23±5	23±5
Relaxation	53±11	18±3	35±17
Unsecured	12±5	47±13	30±17
Enhancement	8±5	11±5	10±5

Table 1. Fraction of SFR in the IMAGES-CDFS sample as a function of the merger phase (pre-fusion, fusion, and relaxation phase); the fourth row represents the contribution from galaxies with unsecured major merger models. Uncertainties were estimated using bootstrap re-sampling. In the first column, only galaxies with secured models were considered, while the second column correspond to secured models with addition of five galaxies in the relaxation phase (see text). The right column is a median between the first two. The last line gives the fraction of SFR associated to the fusion peak enhancement.

(Bell et al. 2005, Robaina et al. 2009). Jogee et al. (2009) also reported that morphologically-selected major mergers contribute <30% of the total SFR at similar redshifts and masses.

In order to roughly correct this fraction from contributions of other processes, one can tentatively subtract to the fraction of SFR in the fusion phase the average contribution from galaxies in the two other phases to estimate the SFR peak enhancement due to major mergers only. This leads to a fraction of 10±5% (see Tab. 1), which is consistent with results reported by Robaina et al. (2009), who find that 8±3% of the SFR is directly triggered by major merger in a similar range of mass and redshift (see also Jogee et al. 2009. This is again a lower limit of the SFR fraction due to major mergers only since part of the pre-fusion and relaxation phase SFR is also triggered by the merger event itself: the first passage between the two progenitors can also result in a small peak of SFR as suggested by hydrodynamical simulations (Cox et al. 2008; Lotz et al. 2010a), while part of gas expelled during the merger can fall back onto the remnant and reform a stellar disk (e.g., Robertson et al. 2006; Hopkins et al. 2009).

The total SFR fraction associated to major mergers *cannot* be derived by selecting galaxies in the fusion peak only, i.e., by considering only the most morphologically disturbed galaxies, or even by considering the SFR enhancement during the fusion peak: this would be equivalent to assume that galaxies merge and relax almost instantaneously, which is clearly at odd with both simulations (e.g., Cox et al. 2008; Lotz et al. 2010a,b) and observations (e.g., Rothberg & Joseph 2004; see also Fig. 1). From a causal point of view, one cannot avoid to consider what happens *before* and *after* the coalescence of the two progenitors. One must consider *all* the merger phases (see Fig. 1) to derive the proper fraction of SFR related to mergers.

4 DISCUSSION: THE FRACTION AND MORPHOLOGICAL SPLIT OF LIRGS

As expected, LIRGs correspond to the most SFGs in the sample (see Fig. 2), and represent 73±5% of the total SFR (Flores et al. 1999; Le Floc'h et al. 2005). This strengthens that the [OII] selection in the IMAGES sample results in a representive sample in terms of total SFR, and that no significant obscure sources were missed (see Sect. 2). A large fraction of LIRGs was found to be associated to disky morphologies, which has been used to claim that star formation in LIRGs is not mainly driven by major mergers (e.g., Bell et al. 2005; Melbourne et al. 2005). We find that 58^{+17}_{-10}% of LIRGs in the IMAGES-CDFS sample are in the fusion phase. Since most morphologically-selected mergers are found to correspond to the fusion phase, it implies that the remaining ∼42% of LIRGs that lie in the pre-fusion and relaxation phase should correspond to less disturbed morphology and kinematics. This matches very well the observed fraction of LIRGs that is classified as disks at the same redshift and mass range, with, e.g., ∼42-47% as reported by, e.g., Zheng et al. (2004) and Melbourne et al. (2005). This is consistent with the position of LIRGs across the MS, since the disky LIRGs are preferentially found along the MS, while those in interaction preferentially lie above the MS (Hung et al. 2013); Figure 2 reveals the same trend in the IMAGES-CDFS LIRGs, although with much smaller statistics. We note that compact LIRGs are preferentially found to lie above the MS (at least at z ∼0, see Fig. 16 of Elbaz et al. 2011), which is consistent with these LIRGs being in the fusion peak, where most of the material falls towards the center of mass of the merging system (Hammer et al. 2005).

If one assumes that all intermediate-mass galaxies experienced an infrared episode (IRE) due to intense star formation activity, then the average number of such episodes per galaxy is $n_{IRE} = 0.15 \times 0.58^{+0.17}_{-0.10} \times \Delta t/\tau_{IRE}$, where Δt is the ellapsed time over a given redshift range, 15% if the fraction of LIRGs in the same range of mass and redshift, and τ_{IRE} is the average timescale of the IRE (see Hammer et al. 2005). With $\tau_{IRE} = \tau_{fusion} = 1.8 \pm 0.1$ Gyr and over Δz=0-1 (resp., Δz=0-1.5), this yields $n_{IRE} = 37^{+13}_{-8}$% (resp., 45^{+16}_{-11}%), which compares very well with the expected and observed fraction of local galaxies having experienced a major merger over the same redshift range, i.e., 35% (resp., 50%; Puech et al. 2012).

Both theoretically and observationally, gas-rich major mergers were found to play a dominant role in the structural evolution of present-day spiral galaxies over the past 9 Gyr (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2010; Puech et al. 2012). Here we have shown that they also account for a major fraction of the SFR in their ancestors and drive the MS of SFGs. This major merger-driven star formation activity is consistent with the observed fraction and morphology of LIRGs, in agreement with the "spiral rebuilding scenario" initially proposed by Hammer et al. (2005).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Susanna Vergani and Hakim Atek for useful discussions and comments. We thank the anonymous referee for a careful reading and valuable comments, which have significantly contributed to improve the clarity of the paper.

REFERENCES

Bell E. F., McIntosh D. H., Katz N., Weinberg M. D., 2003, ApJS, 149, 289

Bell E. F., Papovich C., Wolf C., Le Floc'h E., Caldwell J. A. R., Barden M., Egami E., McIntosh D. H., Meisenheimer K., Pérez-González P. G., Rieke G. H., Rieke M. J., Rigby J. R., Rix H.-W., 2005, ApJ, 625, 23

Bouché N., Dekel A., Genzel R., Genel S., Cresci G., Förster Schreiber N. M., Shapiro K. L., Davies R. I., Tacconi L., 2010, ApJ, 718, 1001

Brinchmann J., Charlot S., White S. D. M., Tremonti C., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., Brinkmann J., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 1151

Cox T. J., Jonsson P., Somerville R. S., Primack J. R., Dekel A., 2008, MNRAS, 384, 386

Drory N., Alvarez M., 2008, ApJ, 680, 41

Dutton A. A., van den Bosch F. C., Dekel A., 2010, MNRAS, 405, 1690

Elbaz D., Daddi E., Le Borgne D., Dickinson M., Alexander D. M., Chary R.-R., Starck J.-L., Brandt W. N., Kitzbichler M., MacDonald E., Nonino M., Popesso P., Stern D., Vanzella E., 2007, A&A, 468, 33

Elbaz D., Dickinson M., Hwang H. S., Díaz-Santos T., Magdis G., Magnelli B., Le Borgne D., Galliano F., Pannella M., Chanial P., Armus L., Charmandaris V., Daddi E. e. a., 2011, A&A, 533, A119

Flores H., Hammer F., Thuan T. X., Césarsky C., Desert F. X., Omont A., Lilly S. J., Eales S., Crampton D., Le Fèvre O., 1999, ApJ, 517, 148

Fumagalli M., Labbe I., Patel S. G., Franx M., van Dokkum P., Brammer G., da Cunha E., Forster Schreiber e. a., 2013, ArXiv e-prints

Green A. W., Glazebrook K., McGregor P. J., Damjanov I., Wisnioski E., Abraham R. G., Colless M., Sharp R. G., Crain R. A., Poole G. B., McCarthy P. J., 2013, MNRAS

Guo K., Zheng X. Z., Fu H., 2013, ApJ, 778, 23

Hammer F., Flores H., Elbaz D., Zheng X. Z., Liang Y. C., Cesarsky C., 2005, A&A, 430, 115

Hammer F., Flores H., Puech M., Yang Y. B., Athanassoula E., Rodrigues M., Delgado R., 2009, A&A, 507, 1313

Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Younger J. D., Hernquist L., 2009, ApJ, 691, 1168

Hopkins P. F., Younger J. D., Hayward C. C., Narayanan D., Hernquist L., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 1693

Hung C.-L., Sanders D. B., Casey C. M., Lee N., Barnes J. E., Capak P., Kartaltepe J. S., Koss M., Larson K. L., Le Floc'h E., Lockhart K., Man A. W. S., Mann A. W., Riguccini L., Scoville N., Symeonidis M., 2013, ApJ, 778, 129

Jogee S., Miller S. H., Penner K., Skelton R. E., Conselice C. J., Somerville R. S., Bell E. F., Zheng X. Z., Rix H.-W., Robaina A. R., Barazza e. a., 2009, ApJ, 697, 1971

Kannan R., Stinson G. S., Macciò A. V., Brook C., Weinmann S. M., Wadsley J., Couchman H. M. P., 2013, MNRAS

Kaviraj S., Cohen S., Windhorst R. A., Silk J., O'Connell R. W., Dopita M. A., Dekel A., Hathi N. P., Straughn A., Rutkowski M., 2013, MNRAS, 429, L40

Le Floc'h E., Papovich C., Dole H., Bell E. F., Lagache G., Rieke G. H., Egami E., Pérez-González P. G., Alonso-Herrero e. a., 2005, ApJ, 632, 169

Lotz J. M., Jonsson P., Cox T. J., Primack J. R., 2010a, MNRAS, 404, 590

Lotz J. M., Jonsson P., Cox T. J., Primack J. R., 2010b, MNRAS, 404, 575

Melbourne J., Koo D. C., Le Floc'h E., 2005, ApJ, 632, L65

Neichel B., Hammer F., Puech M., Flores H., Lehnert M., Rawat A., Yang Y., Delgado R., Amram P., Balkowski e. a., 2008, A&A, 484, 159

Noeske K. G., Weiner B. J., Faber S. M., Papovich C., Koo D. C., Somerville R. S., Bundy K., Conselice C. J., Newman J. A., Schiminovich D., Le Floc'h e. a., 2007, ApJ, 660, L43

Puech M., 2010, MNRAS, 406, 535

Puech M., Flores H., Hammer F., Yang Y., Neichel B., Lehnert M., Chemin L., Nesvadba N., Epinat e. a., 2008, A&A, 484, 173

Puech M., Hammer F., Flores H., Delgado-Serrano R., Rodrigues M., Yang Y., 2010, A&A, 510, A68

Puech M., Hammer F., Flores H., Neichel B., Yang Y., Rodrigues M., 2007, A&A, 476, L21

Puech M., Hammer F., Hopkins P. F., Athanassoula E., Flores H., Rodrigues M., Wang J. L., Yang Y. B., 2012, ApJ, 753, 128

Robaina A. R., Bell E. F., Skelton R. E., McIntosh D. H., Somerville R. S., Zheng X., Rix H.-W., Bacon D., Balogh M., Barazza F. D., Barden e. a., 2009, ApJ, 704, 324

Robertson B., Bullock J. S., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., Springel V., Yoshida N., 2006, ApJ, 645, 986

Rodighiero G., Cimatti A., Gruppioni C., Popesso P., Andreani P., Altieri B., Aussel H., Berta S., Bongiovanni A., Brisbin D., Cava A., Cepa e. a., 2010, A&A, 518, L25

Rodighiero G., Daddi E., Baronchelli I., Cimatti A., Renzini A., Aussel H., Popesso P., Lutz D., Andreani P., Berta S., Cava A., Elbaz D., Feltre A., Fontana A., Förster Schreiber e. a., 2011, ApJ, 739, L40

Rothberg B., Joseph R. D., 2004, AJ, 128, 2098

Whitaker K. E., van Dokkum P. G., Brammer G., Franx M., 2012, ApJ, 754, L29

Wuyts S., Förster Schreiber N. M., van der Wel A., Magnelli B., Guo Y., Genzel R., Lutz D., Aussel H., Barro G., Berta S., Cava e. a., 2011, ApJ, 742, 96

Yang Y., Flores H., Hammer F., Neichel B., Puech M., Nesvadba N., Rawat A., Cesarsky C., Lehnert M., Pozzetti L., Fuentes-Carrera I., Amram e. a., 2008, A&A, 477, 789

Zheng X. Z., Hammer F., Flores H., Assémat F., Pelat D., 2004, A&A, 421, 847